A Search for the LHCb Charmed 'Pentaquark' using Photo-Production of J/ψ at Threshold in Hall C at Jefferson Lab

Z.-E. Meziani[n,*], S. Joosten[n,**], M. Paolone[n,**], E. Chudakov[m,**], M. Jones[m,**], K. Adhikari[g], K. Aniol[d], W. Armstrong[b], J. Arrington[b], A. Asaturyan[a], H. Atac[n], S. Bae[l], H. Bhatt[g], D. Bhetuwal[g], J.-P. Chen[m], X. Chen[i], H. Choi[l], S. Choi[l], M. Diefenthaler[m], J. Dunne[g], R. Dupré[j], B. Duran[n], D. Dutta[g], L. El-Fassi[g], Q. Fu[i], H. Gao[e], H. Go[l], C. Gu[e], J. Ha[l], K. Hafidi[b], O. Hansen[m], M. Hattawy[b], D. Higinbotham[m], G. M. Huber[k], P. Markowitz[f], D. Meekins[m], H. Mkrtchyan[a], R. Nouicer[c], W. Li[k], X. Li[e], T. Liu[e], C. Peng[e], L. Pentchev[m], E. Pooser[m], M. Rehfuss[n], N. Sparveris[n], V. Tadevosyan[a], R. Wang[i], F. R. Wesselmann[h], S. Wood[m], W. Xiong[e], X. Yan[e], L. Ye[g], Z. Ye[b], A. Zafar[k], Y. Zhang[i], F. Zhao[i], Z. Zhao[e], S. Zhamkochyan[a]

[a] *Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory, Yerevan, Armenia*
[b] *Argonne National Laboratory, Chicago, IL*
[c] *Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY*
[d] *California State University, Los Angeles, CA*
[e] *Duke University, Durham, NC*
[f] *Florida International University, Miami, FL*
[g] *Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS*
[h] *Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA*
[i] *Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China*
[j] *Institut de Physique Nuclaire d'Orsay, Orsay, France*
[k] *University of Regina, SK, Canada*
[l] *Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea*
[m] *Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA*
[n] *Temple University, Philadelphia, PA*

arXiv:1609.00676v2 [hep-ex] 12 Sep 2016

Abstract

We propose[†] to measure the photo-production cross section of J/ψ near threshold, in search of the recently observed LHCb hidden-charm resonances $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ consistent with 'pentaquarks'. The observation of these resonances in photo-production will provide strong evidence of the true resonance nature of the LHCb states, distinguishing them from kinematic enhancements. A bremsstrahlung photon beam produced with an 11 GeV electron beam at CEBAF covers the energy range of J/ψ production from the threshold photo-production energy of 8.2 GeV, to an energy beyond the presumed $P_c(4450)$ resonance. The experiment will be carried out in Hall C at Jefferson Lab, using a 50 μA electron beam incident on a 9% copper radiator. The resulting photon beam passes through a 15 cm liquid hydrogen target, producing J/ψ mesons through a diffractive process in the t-channel, or through a resonant process in the s- and u-channel. The decay e^+e^- pair of the J/ψ will be detected in coincidence using the two high-momentum spectrometers of Hall C. The spectrometer settings have been optimized to distinguish the resonant s- and u-channel production from the diffractive t-channel J/ψ production. The s- and u-channel production of the charmed 5-quark resonance dominates the t-distribution at large t. The momentum and angular resolution of the spectrometers is sufficient to observe a clear resonance enhancement in the total cross section and t-distribution. We request a total of 11 days of beam time with 9 days to carry the main experiment and 2 days to acquire the needed t-channel elastic J/ψ production data for a calibration measurement. This calibration measurement in itself will greatly enhance our knowledge of t-channel elastic J/ψ production near threshold.

[†] This document is an updated version of the original proposal PR12-16-007, which was approved with an 'A' rating and a 'high-impact' label by the Jefferson Lab PAC 44 in July 2016. The experiment was awarded 11 days of beam time.

[*] Co-Spokesperson/Contact
[**] Co-Spokesperson
Email addresses: meziani@temple.edu (Z.-E. Meziani), sylvester.joosten@temple.edu (S. Joosten), michael.paolone@temple.edu (M. Paolone), gen@jlab.org (E. Chudakov), jones@jlab.org (M. Jones)

Contents

1 Introduction and motivation **2**
1.1 Present data status . . . 3

2 The proposed measurement in Hall C at Jefferson Lab **3**
2.1 The experiment in Hall C . . . 4
2.2 Kinematics . . . 6

3 Physics and accidental backgrounds **8**
3.1 Production of e^+e^- through elastic J/ψ production and decay . . . 8
3.2 Bethe-Heitler pair production . . . 9
3.3 Single $e^\pm$ background . . . 10
3.4 Single $\pi^\pm$ background . . . 10
3.5 Accidental coincidence rate . . . 10
3.6 Background from $\gamma p \to J/\psi p\pi$ . . . 10
3.7 Background from lepto-production . . . 11

4 Simulation of the experiment **11**
4.1 Model for the t-channel cross section . . . 11
4.2 Model for the $P_c \to J/\psi p$ cross section . . . 12
4.3 Bremsstrahlung spectrum . . . 12
4.4 Detector acceptance and resolution . . . 12

5 Projected results **14**
5.1 Projected results in case of 5% coupling . . . 14
5.2 Sensitivity to the P_c resonant production . . . 14
5.3 Projected impact on the world data for J/ψ production . . . 16

6 Run plan and beam request **16**

1. Introduction and motivation

Photo-production of J/ψ on a nucleon very close to threshold is an important subject in the field of non-perturbative QCD in its own right [1] and is already planned to be investigated at Jefferson Lab as the 12 GeV upgrade of CEBAF is completed [2, 3]. Oddly enough the potential of discovery of hidden charm baryon resonances via photo-production was discussed in 2014 [4] inspired in part by the SoLID-J/ψ approved proposal at Jefferson Lab [3]. However, CERN's recent experimental discovery [5] has spurred a new excitement and a sense of urgency to carry out measurements of photo-production at threshold in a timely manner.

Less than a year ago, on July 14, 2015, a press release from the CERN press office announced the observation of exotic pentaquark particles [5] just a day after the manuscript describing the discovery was posted on the arXiv.org [6] website by the collaboration. A month later, on August 12, 2015 the announcement was followed by the publication of the manuscript describing the discovery in Physical Review Letters [7]. This announcement was received with both excitement and a healthy dose of skepticism due to the early saga of 'pentaquarks,' in the beginning of the new millennium, which proved inconclusive. Unlike these earlier announced pentaquarks, which consisted of four light quarks and one strange quark, the resonant state observed by the LHCb includes two heavy quarks, namely charm and anti-charm quarks and thus must be different in nature.

Subsequent to the announcement a series of theoretical papers [8–15] appeared in the literature with possible interpretations of the observed resonance. A range of explanations was invoked, from a possible true pentaquark resonant state to a kinematic enhancement like those observed in other experiments close to kinematic thresholds [16], such as a bound state of charmonium($2S$) and the proton [11], or a molecule composed of Σ_c and $\bar{D}^*$ [17, 18]. But without further experimental measurements it is not clear whether the formed exotic resonance can be unambiguously

identified as a resonance. Some authors suggested that effects of final state interactions are responsible for the LHCb observed rate enhancements [15]. While the interest of the theory community has produced more than 200 citations up to date, LHCb is the only experiment that has observed these states. The hadronic physics community is eager to see these possible resonant states confirmed in more than one experiment and proceed with a detailed investigation of the quantum numbers of such states.

In summary, to resolve the true nature of the $P_c^+(4380)$ and $P_c^+(4450)$ states it is proposed to study these pentaquark candidates in direct photo-production of J/ψ on the proton and provide not only further evidence of their existence but also investigate their spin and parity, as noted in several papers, such as [12–14, 19]. This proposal is more specifically about a direct search of the higher mass narrow width $P_c^+(4450)$ and follows Wang et al. [12] using the different spins and parity described in the paper but with the less optimistic assumptions about the coupling to the resonant states during our complete simulations, namely a 5% coupling.

We believe that the results from this search at Jefferson Lab will have a high impact on the broader physics community.

1.1. Present data status

The photo-production of J/ψ has been measured in many experiments at high invariant mass of the photon-proton system ($W_{\gamma-p}$ at HERA [20, 21], and more recently at LHCb [22] (see right Fig. 1). The total elastic J/ψ production at high photon-nucleon invariant mass $W_{\gamma p}$ is well described by the t-channel exchange of a colorless object between the photon and the proton [23], in this case two-gluon exchange. The differential cross section in the proton momentum transfer variable t is usually described by $d\sigma/dt \propto e^{bt}$ with a value of b that depends on $W_{\gamma p}$. As $W_{\gamma p}$ decreases towards the threshold region of J/ψ production, the mechanism is described by a Pomeron exchange or two-gluon exchange [24] or perhaps a more complicated multi-gluon exchange carrying the non-perturbative information of the gluonic fields in the nucleon. The new LHCb resonance happens to be in this threshold region of invariant mass, a region that has been poorly explored in modern times. It is worth pointing out that the few measurements of this region occurred in the 1970s at Cornell and SLAC and in the 80s at Fermilab (see left Fig. 1). In those experiments, issues of unambiguously defining the elastic process of J/ψ production were hampered in some cases by the use of nuclear targets, detector resolution and the detection of one lepton only in the case of the J/ψ pair decay.

In Hall C at Jefferson Lab, a photo-production experiment (E03-008) was performed in the *subthreshold* regime, but unfortunately no signal was observed after one week of beam scattering off a ^{12}C target [25]. The experiment used a bremsstrahlung beam produced in a copper radiator by the 6 GeV incident electron beam at CEBAF. The pair of spectrometers (HMS and SOS) of Hall C were used to detect the pair of leptons resulting from the decay of the J/ψ. This experiment allowed an upper limit to be set on the cross section which was found to be consistent with the quasi-free production. More recently a proposal [26] for the 12 GeV upgrade of Hall C was considered by the PAC and conditionally approved. The authors proposed again the use of bremsstrahlung photon beam created in a radiator to look at the photo-production at threshold in a series of nuclei. The physics goal was to measure the photo-production cross section in order to investigate the A-dependence of the propagation of the J/ψ in the nuclear medium as well as extract the $J/\psi - N$ interaction. In the latter proposal, the J/ψ decay pair was to be detected by the HMS and SHMS similar to what is proposed here, however the optimization of the spectrometer settings was related to enhancing the rate of pairs detected from J/ψ decays in primarily diffractive J/ψ production off nuclei, no resonant production was considered.

In summary, the near threshold region of elastic J/ψ production has yet to be fully explored in the context of understanding the non-perturbative gluonic J/ψ-nucleon interaction. At Jefferson Lab there are approved proposals to measure this region using the CLAS12 detector in Hall B [2] and the SoLID detector in Hall A [3]. In this proposal our focus is to confirm the observation of LHCb through a resonant production of the $P_c(4450)$ in the s- and u-channel.

2. The proposed measurement in Hall C at Jefferson Lab

We propose to measure the elastic J/ψ photo-production cross section as a function of t and photon energy E_γ in the near threshold region in Hall C. A bremsstrahlung photon beam will be created using a 9% copper radiator in front of a liquid hydrogen target, similar to the E-05-101 experiment [34]. The optimal placement of the radiator will be chosen to account for the closer proximity of the flow diverters to the beam.



Figure 1: Compilation of world data for the electro- and photo-production of elastic J/ψ. Shown in the left panel are Cornell data from [27], SLAC data from [28, 29], CERN NA14 data from [30], FNAL data from [31, 32], H1 data from [21, 33], ZEUS data from [20] and LHCb data from [22]. Legend in the figure with γ^* refer to electro-production data and thus an effective photon energy defined by $E_\gamma^{eff} = (W_{\gamma p}^2 - M_p^2)/2M_p$ was used. The right panel zooms on the region of interest near the J/ψ production threshold region. The red curve on the right figure is the result of a 2-gluon fit.

Both high momentum spectrometers of Hall C along with their associated detectors will be used to detect the di-lepton pair decay, namely e^+e^-. The photon beam mixed with the primary electron beam will strike a 15 cm liquid hydrogen target. The electron-positron decay pair will be detected in coincidence between the high momentum spectrometer (HMS) set for electron detection and the super-high momentum spectrometer (SHMS) set for positron detection. Both spectrometer arms will be used in their standard configuration.

The proposed measurement is designed to search for the highest mass narrow exotic resonant state discovered at LHCb, namely the $P_c(4450)$. The spectrometer settings (shown in Tab. 1) are optimized to be most sensitive to the possible resonant production of $P_c(4450)$ in the s- and u-channel. The two spectrometers will detect the J/ψ decay into e^+e^- from either the diffractive channel or resonant P_c channel production. However, we will take advantage of the different t-dependence of the two processes to optimize the spectrometers' angle and momentum settings to enhance the $P_c(4450)$ signal relative to that of the t-channel production.

2.1. The experiment in Hall C

The layout of the proposed experiment is shown in Fig. 2 where the HMS is set at an angle of 34° to detect the electrons of the e^+e^- decay pairs while the SHMS is set at angle of 13° to detect the corresponding positrons. This configuration has been optimized to reduce the accidental coincidences between the two spectrometers as well as minimize the absolute background in each spectrometer. Part of the momentum acceptance of the spectrometer will allow for the detection of the Bethe-Heitler process in a kinematic region forbidden to the diffractive or resonant production of J/ψ. The HMS is chosen to detect electrons, because the inclusive inelastic electron scattering cross section drops rapidly with increasing scattering angle. On the other hand the SHMS would have a very large rate of inclusive electron scattering if it were to be used to detect electrons at the small angle setting of 13°. It is thus run in positive polarity to detect positrons, but it will also accept positive pions and protons.

Each spectrometer has a similar set of standard detectors to identify electrons/positrons and reject charged pions and protons. In each case the momentum of the particles is provided through tracking by a set of drift chambers, and electron identification is ensured by a light gas Čerenkov counter and an electromagnetic calorimeter. The trigger in each spectrometer is defined by a coincidence between a set of 2 hodoscope scintillator planes along the path of the particles. These configurations offer an electron or positron detection efficiency greater than 98% and a pion



Figure 2: The experimental layout of the HMS (for e^- detection) and SHMS (for e^+ detection) and associated detectors combined with a liquid 15 cm hydrogen target and a 9% copper radiator. We will detect the J/ψ decay e^+e^- pair in coincidence between the two spectrometers. From the scattering angle and momentum determination of the lepton pairs, we are able to reconstruct the invariant mass of the J/ψ as well as its three-momentum. From this information the four-momentum transfer to the proton t is calculated and the real photon energy E_γ is determined.

rejection factor of about a thousand. The timing resolution online will be defined by the time coincidence between the hodoscopes in each spectrometer first and then a time coincidence between both spectrometers. We expect it to be on the range of few nanoseconds, however improvements will be possible when the tracking information is corrected for offline. A gate of about 50 ns will be used between the two spectrometers.

Table 1: Kinematic setting of the HMS and SHMS spectrometers to measure in coincidence the decay-pair of the J/ψ. The main spectrometer setting (1) is optimized to measure the $P_c(4450)$ with minimal t-channel background production, while the additional setting (2) is chosen to allow for a precise determination of the t-channel background left of the $P_c(4450)$ resonance.

	HMS		SHMS		Acceptance	
	p	θ	p	θ	t-channel	$P_c(4450)$
Setting	GeV/c		GeV/c		%	%
#1	3.25	34.5°	4.5	13.0°	0.0004	0.003
#2	4.75	20.0°	4.25	20.0°	0.01	0.003

We point out that a lower electron beam energy of 10.7 GeV, rather than 11.0 GeV, with the same spectrometer settings, will result in a more suppressed t-channel production, while it should not affect the s and u channel $P_c(4450)$ production.

2.2. *Kinematics*



Figure 3: Full phase space of the t channel elastic J/ψ production with the acceptance rate shown. The variable t versus incoming photon energy E_γ is plotted. The kinematic setting #1 for this experiment with the accepted t channel events (red) and the $P_c(4450) - 5/2+$ (purple) resonant state events.

The kinematics were optimized using a full simulation of the experiment and focused on enhancing the resonant production of J/ψ through the $P_c(4450)$ relative to the diffractive production. This is done by taking advantage of the t-dependence of the diffractive production since the latter is suppressed at large values of t while the resonant production

in the s-channel of P_c is rather flat across the same t range. The spectrometer settings are chosen to take advantage of this difference in t-dependence. In Tab. 1 we list the spectrometers' momentum and angle settings converged upon and the resulting acceptance for a coincident detection of the di-lepton pair. Also shown is the additional spectrometer setting needed for a precise determination of the t-channel background left of the $P_c(4450)$ peak.

Shown in Fig. 4 left and right are the distributions of angle versus momentum of the decay pair of leptons, the full correlated phase space of the t-channel production of the pair is shown on the left figure while the similar phase space of the resonant P_c production is shown on the right.



Figure 4: Spectrometer settings (rectangular boxes) from the optimization to select the high-t region where the t-channel production is highly suppressed compared to the P_c production rate. See also Fig. 5



Figure 5: Angular distribution of the J/ψ production for the t-channel, normalized to the same area for each curve in arbitrary units, in comparison with the J/ψ production through the exotic P_c resonant state with various possible spin/parity assumptions. The angle θ is the relative angle between the J/psi and the photon in the center of mass. Note that, for the t-channel this is directly related to the t-dependence of the cross section.

It is important to take into account the different possibilities of spin of the exotic charmed resonance. In all cases we found that it is best to keep kinematics that correspond to $\cos\theta$ between -0.4 and 0.2 as shown in Fig. 5, or, in other words, close to the 90° range in the center of mass frame. This maximizes the P_c production rate, relative to the t-channel production rate.



Figure 6: The acceptance for setting #1 (left) and #2 (right) from Tab. 1 as a function of the photon energy E_γ. The t-channel is shown in red, and the $P_c(4450)$ is shown in blue (the angular decay distribution was taken to be consistent with the 5/2+ assumption shown in Fig. 5). The acceptance for setting #1 is perfectly optimized to measure the $P_c(4450)$.

3. Physics and accidental backgrounds

The typical process of elastic J/ψ production is usually described by a Feynman diagram represented in Fig. 7 and is well understood at high energies using perturbation theory [35]. This process at threshold is usually described by a two-gluon exchange [23, 24] although at threshold the gluons could be an effective representation of an interaction that conserves color but is much more complicated. A full experimental physics program to explore the threshold region of J/ψ production completely is planned by gathering large amount of data through electro-production and photo-production using the CLAS12 [2] and SoLID [3] detectors in the coming years.

3.1. Production of e^+e^- through elastic J/ψ production and decay



Figure 7: t-channel 2-gluon exchange elastic J/ψ photo-production mechanism.

In the proposed experiment we are not concerned with the elastic t-channel production of J/ψ, which we consider to be a physics background, but are rather interested in confirming the possible resonance production of the J/ψ through the decay of the newly discovered states at LHCb, namely $P_c(4450)$ and $P_c(4380)$. This production is typically described by an s- and u-channel production of these resonances according to the diagrams of Fig. 8. More specifically it is a search for $P_c(4450)$ that we are focused on in this proposal. $P_c(4380)$ is broader with a lower cross section, and thus requires a more challenging setup to be determined cleanly.



Figure 8: $s-$ (a) and $u-$ (b) channel resonant production of J/ψ through P_c.

Therefore, in this experiment the challenge is to separate the two different processes, one that we consider to be a physics background (t-channel production of J/ψ) and one that is our important signal (s- and u-channel resonant production through P_c). We propose to use spectrometer settings that will dramatically reduce the acceptance of the t-channel production of the J/ψ relative to the s- and u-channel resonant production of $P_c(4450)$. These setting were optimized using a multidimensional scan of the acceptance for both spectrometers in the full phase space.

3.2. Bethe-Heitler pair production

To evaluate the Bethe-Heitler background represented by the processes described in Fig. 9 we used the calculations of Pauk and Vanderhaeghen [36, 37] but with $M_{l^+l^-}$ evaluated within the acceptance of our spectrometer settings centered around the mass of $P_c(4450)$. We use the dipole electromagnetic form factor for the range of momentum transfers covering the proposed experiment. We find that this background is over 10 times smaller as shown in Fig. 10, nevertheless it can be calculated and controlled for.



Figure 9: Bethe-Heitler (BH) mechanism producing a background process to the t-channel and P_c resonant production.



Figure 10: B-H rate relative to the elastic J/ψ production in the t-channel.

3.3. Single $e^{\pm}$ background

The electron rate in the HMS was estimated using CTEQ5 [38], and cross checked using the F1F209 program [39]. The positron rate in the SHMS was estimated using the EPC program [40] combined with a positron background program written for the E94-010 experiment at JLab. The rate can be found in Tab. 2.

3.4. Single $\pi^{\pm}$ background

The charged pion singles rate was estimated using the Wiser program [41]. Its results can be found in Tab. 2.

3.5. Accidental coincidence rate

Using the results from Tab. 2, the accidental coincidence rate for a 50 ns trigger window between the HMS and SHMS, was found to be of the order of 10^{-5} Hz. This is two full orders of magnitude lower than the expected signal rate and therefore negligible. For this calculation we assumed a pion rejection larger than 10^3 from the combined Čerenkov and calorimeter system.

Table 2: Singles rates

	HMS		SHMS	
Setting	e^- (kHz)	π^- (kHz)	e^+ (kHz)	π^+ (kHz)
#1	6.9×10^{-3}	7.5×10^{-2}	6.5×10^{-4}	1.95×10^{2}
#2	9.7×10^{-1}	2.2×10^{0}	7.5×10^{-4}	10.5×10^{0}

3.6. Background from $\gamma p \to J/\psi p\pi$

The inelastic channel of J/ψ production, where an additional final state pion is produced but not detected, might contaminate the kinematic region where the $P_c(4450)$ is produced. The cross section of these inelastic channels was found to be less than 30% of the elastic t-channel cross section at high energies, and is expected to be even smaller near the J/ψ threshold [26, 31]. In this region, the dominant contribution is the resonant channel $\gamma p \to J/\psi\Delta(1320)$, with a threshold at approximately $E_\gamma > 9$ GeV.

In the acceptance of our proposed setting #1, and for a photon endpoint energy of 10.66 GeV, the photon energy spectrum for this inelastic t-channel process occupies the same reconstructed energy range as the elastic t-channel events. These reconstructed energies corresponds to a true photon energies of 1 GeV higher, where the cross is approximately four times larger. This fourfold rise in the cross section is almost exactly compensated by the acceptance, which is four times lower for this process, due to a corresponding shift of the reconstructed t of 1 GeV^2. Ultimately, this background is expected to increase the elastic t-channel background by at most 30%.

3.7. Background from lepto-production

To estimate the background due to lepto-production, we simulated the $ep \rightarrow e\gamma^* p \rightarrow eJ/\psi p$ process for a 50 μA electron beam at 11 GeV. We found only quasi-real photons, up to a virtuality of Q^2 0.01 GeV^2 to have a significant impact. Photons with a higher virtuality are highly suppressed because of the following reasons:

- the virtual photon flux drops with Q^2
- Higher Q^2 means lower W^2 for fixed values of ν, and the t-channel cross section drops for lower W^2. Furthermore, close to threshold, the available phase space shrinks rapidly for lower W^2.
- The highly-tuned spectrometer acceptance drops with Q^2.

Because only the quasi-real photons play a role, the contribution due to lepto-production will lead to a (small) enhancement of the count rates. We will verify the impact of this contribution by conducting a dedicated measurement without the radiator.

4. Simulation of the experiment

We use a custom Monte-Carlo generator to obtain a realistic estimate of the J/ψ photo-production rates. This generator uses the bremsstrahlung spectrum for a 10% radiator, appropriate models for the t-channel and P_c resonant channel, and the HMS and SHMS spectrometer acceptance with realistic smearing effects. The leptonic $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ decay is simulated using a $(1+cos^2\theta_e)$ angular distribution in the J/ψ helicity frame. More details about the simulation can be found below.

4.1. Model for the t-channel cross section

In order to calculate the cross section for the t-channel production, we fit the cross section ansatz for two gluon exchange from Brodksy et al. [24] (equation (3)) to the available world data. The result of this fit (in nb/GeV^2) is given by,

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = Av\frac{(1-x)^2}{M_{J/\psi}^2}(s-M_p^2)^2 \exp bt, \tag{1}$$

where x is given by a near-threshold definition of the fractional momentum carried by the valence quark, v is a kinematic factor, b the impact parameter and A an overall normalization constant that was determined by a fit to the world data,

$$x = \frac{2M_{J/\psi}M_p + M_{J/\psi}^2}{s - M_p^2} \tag{2}$$

$$v = \frac{1}{16\pi(s-M_p^2)^2} \tag{3}$$

$$b = 1.13\,\text{GeV}^{-2}, \tag{4}$$

$$A = 6.499 \times 10^3\,\text{nb}. \tag{5}$$

Additionally, M_p and $M_{J/\psi}$ are respectively the proton mass and J/ψ mass in GeV. The curve from Eq. 1 is shown as a red line in Figures 1 and 11.

4.2. Model for the $P_c \to J/\psi p$ cross section

Several equivallent approaches to calculate the $\gamma p \to P_c \to J/\psi p$ cross section can be found in the literature [12–14, 19]. We based our model of the cross section on the work by Wang et al. [12]. Note that this cross section depends quadratically on the coupling to the $J/\psi p$ channel. We considered the (5/2+) and (5/2-) spin/parity assumptions for the narrow $P_c(4450)$ state, with the corresponding (3/2-) and (3/2+) assumption for the $P_c(4380)$ state. The angular distribution for the J/ψ production for each of the spin-parity assumptions can be found in Fig. 5. The contributions of the (5/2+,3/2-) channels to the J/ψ photo-production cross section as a function of photon energy E_γ are shown in Fig. 11.

We optimized the spectrometer settings for a (5/2+) $P_c(4450)$ case with 5% coupling to the $J/\psi p$ channel, as it agrees well with the existing photo-production data. This setting, also has a good sensitivity to a (5/2-) $P_c(4450)$ as its production cross section is a full order of magnitude larger. To perform this optimization, a total of 3.4 million possible spectrometer settings were considered. We selected a setting that maximizes the acceptance for J/ψ produced with a $\cos\theta$ between -0.4 and 0.2 in the center-of-mass frame, as shown in Fig.5. This corresponds to a setting that selects the high-t region, where there is a maximum sensitivity to the (5/2+) $P_c(4450)$ resonant production, while simultaneously the sensitivity to the t-channel J/ψ production is highly suppressed. This setting is listed on the first line of Tab. 1.



Figure 11: J/ψ production cross section as a function of the photon energy. The P_c resonant production is shown for the (5/2+, 3/2-) case assuming 3% coupling, compared with the available measurements in this region [27, 29].

4.3. Bremsstrahlung spectrum

The generator uses equation (24) from Tsai [42] to evaluate for the bremsstrahlung spectrum. For a 9% radiator combined with 1% from the target (a total of 10% radiator), the photon beam has an integrated intensity of 2.3% of the primary electron beam.

4.4. Detector acceptance and resolution

The spectrometer acceptance and realistic smearing are simulated using the parameters listed in Tab. 3. An e^+e^- invariant mass spectrum that was generated using the optimized setting listed on the first line of Tab. 1 can be found in Figure 12. The reconstructed J/ψ mass resolution is 5 MeV.

Table 3: Properties of the Hall C spectrometers.

	P GeV/c	$\Delta P/P$ %	$\sigma P/P$ %	θ^{in}	$\Delta\theta^{\text{in}}$ mrad	$\Delta\theta^{\text{out}}$ mrad	$\Delta\Omega$ msr	$\sigma\theta^{\text{in}}$ mrad	$\sigma\theta^{\text{out}}$ mrad
HMS	0.4-7.4	-10 +10	0.1	10.5°-90°	±24	±70	8	0.8	1.0
SHMS	2.5-11.	-15 +25	0.1	5.5°-25°	±20	±50	4	1.0	1.0



Figure 12: Invariant mass of the detected lepton pair with realistic smearing. The invariant mass resolution is 5 MeV.

5. Projected results

In this section we describe the results of our simulation and the expected results for 9 days of beam on target. We discuss the projected yields in case of 5% coupling for the $P_c(4450) \rightarrow J/\psi p$ channel. Additionally, we will quantify the statistical precision with which we can identify the P_c resonance for different values of the coupling. Finally, we will show the estimated impact of this experiment on the available world data for the J/ψ photo-production cross section.

5.1. Projected results in case of 5% coupling

As shown in Fig. 13 and Fig. 14 the results, clearly reveal the resonant structure of the pentaquark assuming a 5% coupling.



Figure 13: Expected results for the reconstructed t and E_γ spectrum for 9 days of beam on target, assuming the most probable (5/2+, 3/2-) P_c from [12] with 5% coupling. There is clear separation in both spectra between the P_c (5/2+) resonant channel, and the t-channel.



Figure 14: Expected results for the reconstructed t and E_γ spectrum for 9 days of beam on target, assuming the less probable (5/2-, 3/2+) P_c from [12] with 5% coupling. Due to the larger cross section for the 5/2-, the separation in both spectra is even better than for the 5/2+ assumption shown in Fig. 13.

The projected results from the calibration measurement of the t-channel J/ψ background to the P_c resonant channel, for 2 days of beam on target, can be found in Fig. 15. Note that in addition to providing the necessary leverage for the t-channel background subtraction, this calibration measurement will greatly impact our knowledge of the t-channel J/ψ photo-production near threshold, where currently no world data exist.

5.2. Sensitivity to the P_c resonant production

To obtain an estimate of the sensitivity to the P_c resonant process as a function of the coupling to the $J/\psi p$ channel, we calculated the log-likelihood difference $\Delta \log \mathcal{L}$ between the hypothesis that the simulated spectra can be described



Figure 15: Expected results for the reconstructed E_γ spectrum for the calibration measurement with 2 days of beam on target. The left panel shows the (5/2+, 3/2-) case , and the right panel shows the (5/2-, 3/2+) case, both with 5% coupling.

by just a t-channel process, and the hypothesis that the P_c resonances are present on top of the t-channel production. We assumed 9 days of beam at 50 μA for setting #1. We then used Wilk's theorem [43] to relate the value of $2\Delta \log \mathcal{L}$ to a value of χ^2 with 5 degrees of freedom (one for the coupling, and 4 for the mass and width of each of the P_c). Note that a binned likelihood approach was used, which yields a conservative estimate compared to the results of a full unbinned extended maximum likelihood procedure.

The results of this sensitivity study can be found in Fig. 16. We found that, for values of the coupling of 1.3% and higher, we have a sensitivity of more than the 5 standard deviations for discovery. Fig. 16 also shows the projected results in case of a 1.3% coupling. For a coupling of 5%, our sensitivity far exceeds 20 standard deviations.

In the proposal, we assumed a realistic coupling of 5% from Wang [12], which they found to be compatible with the currently existing J/ψ photo-production data. A more recent statistical analysis by Blin [19] found an upper limit of the coupling values to be between $8-17\%$ at the 95% confidence level for the $P_c(4450)$ (5/2+). Furthermore, Karliner [9] argues that the coupling cannot be too small, as the $P_c(4450) \rightarrow J/\psi p$ signal is 4.1% of the $J/\psi p$ final state in $\Lambda_b \rightarrow K^- J/\psi p$. If the coupling were too small, the value of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow K^- P_c$ with the P_c decaying to final states other than $J/\psi p$, would become unreasonably large in comparison with the measured branching fraction of $\Lambda_b \rightarrow K^- J/\psi p$. This means that, due to the sensitivity of the proposed experiment down to very low values of the coupling, we will have the ability to provide a very strong exclusion of the charmed-pentaquark assumption in case it is not found.



Figure 16: The left figure shows the sensitivity to the P_c as a function of the coupling to the $J/\psi p$ channel, obtained from a log-likelihood analysis. The dashed line shows the 5σ level of sensitivity necessary for discovery. This level is reached starting from a coupling of 1.3%. The right panel shows the expected results for the reconstructed E_γ spectrum for this 1.3% coupling for the $P_c(4450)$ (5/2+).

5.3. Projected impact on the world data for J/ψ production



Figure 17: Projected impact of this experiment assuming, the (5/2+, 3/2-) case with 5% coupling, for 9 days of data taking in setting #1 (solid circles) and 2 additional days of data taking in setting #2 (open circles). The existing data points from Cornell data from [27] and SLAC (unpublished) [29] are also shown.

The projected impact of the proposed experiment, assuming the (5/2+, 3/2-) case with 5% coupling is shown in Fig. 17. These results will dramatically enhance our knowledge of J/ψ photo-production near threshold. The absolute cross section measurements from this experiment will provide valuable input for future experimental endeavors at CLAS12 and SoLID [2, 3].

6. Run plan and beam request

We propose to carry the measurement of elastic photo-production of J/ψ in the threshold region with the aim to confirm the LHCb $P_c(4450)$ discovery. The experiment uses the standard equipment of the upgraded Hall C apparatus at Jefferson Lab. We request 11 days (264 hours) of beam time. The first 40 hours will focus on measuring the shape of the t distribution with high statistics, using setting #2 to maximize the combined acceptance for this process. We will take an additional 8 hours of data in this setting without the radiator, in order to assess the contribution from lepto-production. Finally, we will conduct our main measurement in setting #1 for the remaining 216 hours. See Tab. 1 for the definitions of the spectrometer settings #1 and #2. Accidental coincidences between the two spectrometers will be measured at the same setting and the same time in the momentum acceptance of the spectrometers outside the true physics events.

We request 11 days to perform this high-impact measurement in search of the LHCb charmed exotic resonances consistent with ”pentaquarks”.

References

[1] Stanley J. Brodsky. Novel QCD Phenomena at JLab. *PoS*, QCDEV2015:003, 2015.

[2] Jefferson Lab Experiment E12-12-001, Co-spokespersons, P. Nadel-Turonski (contact person), M. Guidal, T. Horn, R. Paremuzyan and S. Stepanyan, PAC40 https://misportal.jlab.org/mis/physics/experiments/viewProposal.cfm?paperId=701.

[3] Jefferson Lab Experiment E12-12-006, Co-spokespersons, K. Hafidi, Z.-E. Meziani (contact person), X. Qian, N. Sparveris and Z. Zhao, PAC40 https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/proposals/12/PR12-12-006.pdf.

[4] Yin Huang, Jun He, Hong-Fei Zhang, and Xu-Rong Chen. Discovery potential of hidden charm baryon resonances via photoproduction. *J. Phys.*, G41(11):115004, 2014. doi: 10.1088/0954-3899/41/11/115004.

[5] CERN press office. CERN's LHCb experiment reports observation of exotic pentaquark particles. *http://press.cern/press-releases/2015/07/cerns-lhcb-experiment-reports-observation-exotic-pentaquark-particles*, 2015.

[6] Sheldon Stone (submission). Observation of $J/\Psi p$ resonances consistent with pentaquark states in $\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\Psi K^- p$ decays. *http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.03414*, 2015.

[7] Roel Aaij et al. Observation of $J/\Psi - p$ Resonances Consistent with Pentaquark States in $\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\Psi K^- p$ Decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115: 072001, 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.072001.

[8] Xiao-Hai Liu, Qian Wang, and Qiang Zhao. Understanding the newly observed heavy pentaquark candidates. *Physics Letters B*, 757:231–236, June 2016.

[9] Marek Karliner and Jonathan L. Rosner. New Exotic Meson and Baryon Resonances from Doubly-Heavy Hadronic Molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115(12):122001, 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.122001.

[10] Rui Chen, Xiang Liu, Xue-Qian Li, and Shi-Lin Zhu. Identifying exotic hidden-charm pentaquarks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115(13):132002, 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.132002.

[11] Michael I. Eides, Victor Yu. Petrov, and Maxim V. Polyakov. Narrow nucleon-$\psi(2S)$ bound state and LHCb pentaquarks. *Phys. Rev.*, D93 (5):054039, 2016. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.93.054039.

[12] Qian Wang, Xiao-Hai Liu, and Qiang Zhao. Photoproduction of hidden charm pentaquark states $P_c^+(4380)$ and $P_c^+(4450)$. *Phys. Rev.*, D92: 034022, 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.034022.

[13] Marek Karliner and Jonathan L. Rosner. Photoproduction of Exotic Baryon Resonances. *Phys. Lett.*, B752:329–332, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.physletb.2015.11.068.

[14] V. Kubarovsky and M. B. Voloshin. Formation of hidden-charm pentaquarks in photon-nucleon collisions. *Phys. Rev.*, D92(3):031502, 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.031502.

[15] Feng-Kun Guo, Ulf-G. Meiner, Wei Wang, and Zhi Yang. How to reveal the exotic nature of the $P_c(4450)$. *Phys. Rev.*, D92(7):071502, 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.071502.

[16] J. Z. Bai et al. Observation of a near threshold enhancement in th p anti-p mass spectrum from radiative J / psi —¿ gamma p anti-p decays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 91:022001, 2003. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.022001.

[17] Lü, Qi-Fang and Dong, Yu-Bing. Strong decay mode $J/\psi p$ of hidden charm pentaquark states $P_c^+(4380)$ and $P_c^+(4450)$ in $\Sigma_c \bar{D}^*$ molecular scenario. *Phys. Rev.*, D93(7):074020, 2016. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.93.074020.

[18] Yin Huang, Ju-Jun Xie, Jun He, Xurong Chen, and Hong-Fei Zhang. Photoproduction of hidden-charm states in $\gamma p \to \bar{D}^{*0}\Lambda_c^+$ reaction near threshold. 2016.

[19] A. N. Hiller Blin, C. Fernndez-Ramrez, A. Jackura, V. Mathieu, V. I. Mokeev, A. Pilloni, and A. P. Szczepaniak. Studying the $P_c(4450)$ resonance in J/ψ photoproduction off protons. *Phys. Rev.*, D94(3):034002, 2016. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.94.034002.

[20] S. Chekanov et al. Exclusive photoproduction of J/ψ mesons at HERA. *Eur. Phys. J.*, C24:345–360, 2002. doi: 10.1007/s10052-002-0953-7.

[21] C. Alexa et al. Elastic and Proton-Dissociative Photoproduction of J/psi Mesons at HERA. *Eur. Phys. J.*, C73(6):2466, 2013. doi: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2466-y.

[22] R Aaij et al. Exclusive J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. *J. Phys.*, G40:045001, 2013. doi: 10.1088/0954-3899/40/4/045001.

[23] L. Frankfurt and M. Strikman. Two-gluon form factor of the nucleon and J/Ψ photoproduction. *Phys. Rev.*, **D66**:031502(R), 2002.

[24] S. J. Brodsky, E. Chudakov, P. Hoyer, and J. M. Laget. Photoproduction of charm near threshold. *Phys. Lett.*, B498:23–28, 2001. doi: 10.1016/S0370-2693(00)01373-3.

[25] P. Bosted et al. Search for Sub-threshold Photoproduction of J/Ψ Mesons. *Phys. Rev.*, C79:015209, 2009. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevC.79.015209.

[26] Jefferson Lab proposal PR12-07-106, co spokespersons: E. Chudakov (contact person), P. Bosted and J. Dunne, PAC32 Jefferson Lab PR12-07-106 The A-dependence of J/Ψ Photoproduction near Threshold.https://www.jlab.org/Hall-C/upgrade/12gev_experiments.html.

[27] B. Gittelman, K. M. Hanson, D. Larson, E. Loh, A. Silverman, and G. Theodosiou. Photoproduction of the psi (3100) Meson at 11-GeV. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 35:1616, 1975. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.1616.

[28] U. Camerini, J. G. Learned, R. Prepost, Cherrill M. Spencer, D. E. Wiser, W. Ash, Robert L. Anderson, D. Ritson, D. Sherden, and Charles K. Sinclair. Photoproduction of the psi Particles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 35:483, 1975. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.483.

[29] Robert L. Anderson. Excess Muons and New Results in psi Photoproduction. 1976. Microfiche at Fermilab.

[30] R. Barate et al. Measurement of J/ψ and ψ' Real Photoproduction on ^{6}Li at a Mean Energy of 90-GeV. *Z. Phys.*, C33:505, 1987. doi: 10.1007/BF01548261.

[31] Morris E. M. Binkley et al. J/Ψ Photoproduction from 60-GeV/c to 300-GeV/c. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 48:73, 1982. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.73.

[32] P. L. Frabetti et al. A Measurement of elastic J / psi photoproduction cross-section at fermilab E687. *Phys. Lett.*, B316:197–206, 1993. doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(93)90679-C.

[33] C. Adloff et al. Elastic photoproduction of J / psi and Upsilon mesons at HERA. *Phys. Lett.*, B483:23–35, 2000. doi: 10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00530-X.

[34] C. Fanelli et al. Polarization Transfer in Wide-Angle Compton Scattering and Single-Pion Photoproduction from the Proton. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115(15):152001, 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.152001.
[35] S. Donnachie, Hans Gunter Dosch, O. Nachtmann, and P. Landshoff. Pomeron physics and QCD. *Camb. Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol.*, 19:1–347, 2002.
[36] Vladyslav Pauk and Marc Vanderhaeghen. Lepton universality test in the photoproduction of e^-e^+ versus $\mu^-\mu^+$ pairs on a proton target. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115(22):221804, 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.221804.
[37] We kindly thank Prof. M. Vanderhaeghen for providing us with the Mathematica notebook of the calculations described in ref [36].
[38] H L Lai, J Huston, S Kuhlmann, J Morfin, F Olness, J F Owens, J Pumplin, and W K Tung. Global QCD analysis of parton structure of the nucleon: CTEQ5 parton distributions. *The European Physical Journal C*, 12(3):375–392, February 2000.
[39] P. E. Bosted and V. Mamyan. Empirical Fit to electron-nucleus scattering. 2012.
[40] J W Lightbody and J S O'Connell. Modeling single arm electron scattering and nucleon production from nuclei by GeV electrons. *Computers in Physics*, 2(3):57, 1988.
[41] D. E. Wiser. Ph. D. thesis, Univ. of Wisconsin (1977).
[42] Yung-Su Tsai and Van Whitis. Thick Target Bremsstrahlung and Target Consideration for Secondary Particle Production by Electrons. *Phys.Rev.*, 149(4):1248–1257, 1966.
[43] S. S. Wilks. The large-sample distribution of the likelihood ratio for testing composite hypotheses. *Annals Math. Statist.*, 9(1):60–62, 1938. doi: 10.1214/aoms/1177732360.